



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP  
60 STATE STREET  
BOSTON MA 02109

**COPY MAILED**

**MAY 09 2006**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Matthew D. Crane et al.  
Application No. 10/014,300  
Filed: October 26, 2001  
Attorney Docket No.: 111385.141

**ON PETITION**

This is a decision on the petition filed April 13, 2006 under 37 CFR 1.137(b),<sup>1</sup> to revive the above-identified application.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137 (b) is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned November 29, 2005 for failure to timely pay the issue fee on or before November 28, 2005. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed January 12, 2006.

Receipt is also acknowledged of the revocation and power of attorney signed by assignee. However, a review of the power of attorney discloses that it is unacceptable since it is not in compliance with 37 CFR 3.73(b) in that the putative assignee has not established its right to take action in accordance with 37 CFR 3.73(b). Specifically, petitioners have not provided documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee, nor shown that documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee is recorded in the assignment records of the Office (*i.e.* reel and frame number). Upon completion and submission of a Certificate Under 37 CFR 3.73(b) form, the power of attorney will be acceptable.

---

<sup>1</sup> Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c).

Until such time as the power of attorney and correspondence address is accepted, all future correspondence will be directed to the attorney of record at the address of record.

The issue fee, petition fee and publication fee have been applied. All other requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) having now been met, this application file is being forwarded to the Publishing Division to be processed into a patent.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Patricia Faison-Ball". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Patricia Faison-Ball  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions

cc:  
BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP  
125 SUMMER STREET  
BOSTON, MA 02110-1618